	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	10/587,363	YONEDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin Quinto	2826	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Kevin Quinto.	(3)		
(2) <u>Dennis Hubbs</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>07 January 2009</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)  Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>12-19</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The case status was discussed. While the applicant made a good faith effort to place the case in condition for allowance by cancelling claims 1-11 in the amendment filed on December 4, 2008, the previously indicated allowability of claims 15, 16, 18, and 19 is withdrawn. Prosecution is re-opened and a new grounds of rejection are set forth in a non-final rejection.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPILICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
/Evan Pert/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2826			